

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,158	12/22/2003	Einat Amitay	IL920030045US1	7754	
Stephen C. Ka	7590 06/14/201 ufman	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Pro	perty Law Dept.	PHAM, N	PHAM, MICHAEL		
IBM Corporation P.O. Box 218			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			2167		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/14/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/743,158	AMITAY, EINAT				
Examiner	Art Unit				
MICHAEL PHAM	2167				

	MICHAEL PHAM	2167					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the folious places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In overent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, Examiner Note: I box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY OHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76		26(a) and the annuante	to outonalon foo				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been flief is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set fort in (i) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
 \(\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. \((a) \) They raise new issues that would require further co \((b) \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) \((c) \) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or \((d) \) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all proposed or amended claim(s)	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). No For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 66-70,72-74 and 76-83.		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar; The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/John R. Cottingham/	/M. P./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167	Examiner, Art Unit 2167						

Continuation of 3, NOTE: Independent claims 66 and 67 have each been amended. The amendments change the scope of the claims and therefore would require further search and/or consideration.